

Consider the following variant of rock paper scissors:

- As usual, **Rock** beats **scissors**, **paper** beats **rock**, and **scissors** beats **paper**.
- When a player plays **rock** and wins, he/she is the winner and gets a reward of \$3 while the other player needs to pay \$3 to the winner.
- When a player plays **paper** and wins, he/she is the winner and gets a reward of \$2 while the other player needs to pay \$2 to the winner.
- When a player plays **scissors** and wins, he/she is the winner and gets a reward of \$1 while the other player needs to pay \$1 to the winner.
- When there is a tie, the payoff is 0 for both players.

	R	P	S
R	0, 0	-2, 2	3, -3
P	2, -2	0, 0	-1, 1
S	-3, 3	1, -1	0, 0

1. When restricted to pure strategies only, do we have a Nash equilibrium? If so, determine the Nash equilibrium in pure strategies. If not, explain why there is none.
2. When mixed strategies are allowed, do we have a Nash equilibrium? If so, determine a Nash equilibrium in mixed strategies. If not, explain why there is none.